

REMARKS

The Office Action objects to the Abstract, rejects claims 1, 6-16, 18, 20, and 21, and objects to claims 2-5, 17, and 19. The Abstract and claims 1, 15, and 18 have been amended. Claim 16 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, claims 1-15 and 17-21 are pending and under consideration.

Objection to the Abstract

The Office Action objects to the Abstract. Applicant has amended the Abstract to obviate the objection. Applicant has attached a substitute Abstract of the Disclosure, without mark-ups, incorporating the amendments to the Abstract.

Rejection of Claims 8-12 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In items 2-3, the Office Action asserts that the specification and drawings do not disclose "wherein the case comprises a plurality of combining hooks, and the cover comprises a plurality of hook holes that correspond to the combining hooks," as recited in claim 8.

However, the last sentence of paragraph [0021] on page 4 of the specification, states, "Also, the cover 50 may have the hook holes and the case 30 may have the combining hooks." Because at least Figure 3 shows hook holes 36 in the case 30 and combining hooks 52 in the cover 50, it is respectfully submitted that one having ordinary skill in the art, given at least Figure 3 of the present application, could substitute the combining hooks 52 (shown in cover 50) for the hook holes 36 of case 30, and could substitute the hook holes 36 (shown in case 30) for the combining hooks 52 of cover 50. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the specification and drawings fully support the above subject matter, and Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

Rejection of Claims 16 and 18 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Applicant cancels claim 16 without prejudice or disclaimer and amends claim 18 to obviate this rejection.

Rejection of Claims 1, 6, 7, 13, 14, 15, 20, and 21 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)

Claims 1, 6, 7, 13, 14, 15, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's cited prior art. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicant's cited prior art does not disclose, teach, or suggest, at least "a cover combinable with the case to entirely cover the front side of the case and the antenna groove," as recited in independent claim 1.

By covering the entire front side of the case and the antenna groove, the outside of the case in the present invention is improved by preventing and/or minimizing the space and/or gap that may be formed when covering only the individual antenna grooves. Accordingly, the structure of the present invention solves the problems shown in Figure 1 and described in paragraph [0004] of the application. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 1 patentably distinguishes from Applicant's cited art.

Claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 depend from claim 1 and include all of the features of that claim plus additional features not taught or suggested by the cited references. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 also patentably distinguish over Applicant's cited art.

Similarly, Applicant's cited prior art does not disclose, teach, or suggest, at least "a cover, combinable with the case, to entirely cover the front side of the case and the antenna grooves," as recited in claim 15.

Claims 20 and 21 depend from claim 15 and include all of the features of that claim plus additional features not taught or suggested by the cited references. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 20 and 21 also patentably distinguish over Applicant's cited art.

Objection to 2-5, 17, and 19

The Office Action objects to claims 2-5, 17, and 19 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As indicated above, independent claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the cited reference. Claims 2-5 depend from claim 1. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to claims 2-5 is respectfully requested.

As indicated above, independent claim 15 patentably distinguishes over the cited reference. Claims 17 and 19 depend from claim 15. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to claims 17 and 19 is respectfully requested.

Serial No.: 10/727,635

Docket No.: 1572.1230

Summary

Claims 1-15 and 17-21 are pending and under consideration. It is respectfully submitted that none of the references taken alone or in combination disclose the present claimed invention.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 6/17/05

By: 
Stephen T. Boughner
Registration No. 45,317

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501